

### IN THE DRAWINGS

Figures 1 and 2 have been amended to label the optical axis. No new matter has been added.

### **Attachments**

Replacement drawing sheet.

REMARKS

This application has been reviewed in light of the Examiner's Office Action dated December 29, 2005. Reconsideration and full allowance are respectfully requested.

In the December action, the examiner objected to the drawings. The drawings have been amended to label the optical axis. The claims have been amended to remove the terms  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$  and  $d_1$ ,  $d_2$ . Applicant has rewritten the claims to generically reference focal lengths and relative distances of the components of the device. Applicant submits that focal lengths are inherent properties of the components and that the relative distances are supported by the drawings and specifications. Applicant submits this objection is overcome.

The Examiner also rejected all pending claims under the doctrine of nonstatutory double patenting in view of U.S. Patent 6,604,843B. Applicant is submitting herewith a terminal disclaimer. Applicant submits that in view of such terminal disclaimer, the double patenting rejection is overcome.

Based upon the foregoing, Applicant believes that all pending claims are in condition for allowance and such disposition is respectfully requested. In the event that a telephone conversation would further prosecution and/or expedite allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

MARSH FISCHMANN & BREYFOGLE LLP

By: 

Russell T. Manning  
Registration No. 51,260  
3151 South Vaughn Way, Suite 411  
Aurora, Colorado 80014  
(303) 338-0997

Date: June 28, 2006